

- 
- I. Opening Prayer - Aidan Rezner
  - II. Roll Call
    - A. Pick One
  - III. Approval of Minutes - [February 28, 2024](#)
  - IV. Executive Announcements
    - A. Brain Foods Week 3/4/23 - 3/8/24 in the Dining Halls
    - B. Judaism, Christianity, Islam: An Interfaith Conversation in the Andrews Auditorium in Geddes Hall - 3/6/24 from 7-8:15pm
      1. Aidan Rezner: This is with Father Pete, a rabbi, and an imam.
    - C. [Back the Bend Sign-ups \(3/23/24\)](#) - 9 am-1 pm
      1. Aidan Rezer: Back the Bend happening on 3/23. It is the Saturday we come back from Spring Break. We are hoping to get 1,000 volunteers. There are a ton of projects open with local volunteer sites. We have been working really hard to organize this. Please share it with your dorms. Sign up. You get free breakfast, lunch, and a T-shirt. It is from 9 to 1, so you still have the rest of your day. I linked the sign ups.
    - D. Aidan Rezner: Just a reminder. Only if you are an enumerated voting member for this term can you vote. If you are a new senator or not an enumerated voting member for this term, please do not vote or raise your hand when voting happens. You are here to witness for the next term.
  - V. General Orders
    - A. [SS2324-11: A Resolution to Update the NDH Wall](#)

---

## 1. Questioning

- a) Ian Schowe: Have you guys asked the administration what the next five years of building, maybe new dorms or old dorms, will look like? I know it has been moving fast.
- b) Sam Godinez: Are you referring to new dorms in the next five years?
- c) Ian Schowe: Yes. To me, even Fisher Undergrad popped up last year.
- d) Sam Godinez: That information wasn't provided in the meetings with Res Life. Mostly this is about the NDH wall because there is not an established plan to continually update it to introduce residence halls in the NDH wall. I heard from the offices that they were postponing it. This resolution would go a long way to continuing to update the wall to represent the current structure of our dorm.
- e) Aidan Rezner: A lot of that information is confidential, so they aren't able to share it. Our plan is when a new hall is erected, that summer they would look at it to see where they can update it.
- f) Amelia Forrest: Sam and I have talked about this, but on page three of your bill, it mentions your meeting with Karen Kennedy and Dan Rohmiller. It says they expressed a willingness. Would you say they are very insistent?

- 
- g) Sam Godinez: There was a general openness. There wasn't really pushback from the administration. When Aidan and I came to Res Life, it was not a question of can we update, but when will we be able to do so. We did not not give any push back. We came to them and they said yes we can do it.
- h) Aidan Rezner: It was more of a factor that they didn't even realize this space was unupdated. They were more than happy to make the updates that we were proposing.
- i) Ryan Lally: On page three, in the provisions for the future, it says that "If a residence hall is discontinued, the Office of Residential Life will wait for four years until the last generation of the residents from the discontinued residence hall graduates." Why is this included in the resolution and why is this courtesy provided for the future?
- j) Aidan Rezner: We thought about this a lot. We understand that, when a residence hall is discontinued, there are still people that are a part of that community. When Zahm is discontinued, for those four years, there are people who still feel very connected to the Zahm community. We didn't want to take their name off the NDH wall when that community still exists. But we recognize there needs to be a time when those names come off. For example, Grace and Flanner are not presented on the Notre Dame wall because it has been many years and no one at the university is part

---

of those halls anymore. We want to make a plan that is respectful to those who feel connected to that residence hall, but also ensure there is a step for updating this in the future when that time comes.

- k) Jacob Zybura: Maria Bueno wasn't able to come. So, she wanted me to speak on her behalf.
- l) Aidan Rezner: Is this a question? If not, you can speak during debate.
- m) Louis Cornett: In terms of keeping a dorm on the wall for four years after it was dissolved. For people who lived in Zahm, they still feel connected to the building and not the community. What do you feel about keeping that on the wall?
- n) Aidan Rezner: It doesn't follow precedence. We don't have Grace and Flanner on the wall. There would be no one who once lived in Zahm on campus to see the wall. It didn't make sense to keep that up there. We've had halls switch from mens to womens and had halls switch names. To keep it updated in some way is something we've always done. We want to enumerate a process for doing so. This is up to discretion. The four year plan is saying they can't even look at it until four years. If people still feel connected, it doesn't need to be taken down.
- o) Joseph Tunney: What if your residence hall is getting demolished at the end of the school year and you are going into Zahm for two

---

years and going to be in North Dining Hall for two years and not see anything that represents your hall or dorm or community?

- p) Aidan Rezner: What do you mean by that?
- q) Joseph Tunney: Fisher won't be on the North Dining Hall wall.
- r) Aidan Rezner: Because it is not on North Quad.
- s) Joseph Tunney: Right, but the building is being destroyed.
- t) Aidan Rezner: Exactly, at the re-erected. So, it will not be taken down.
- u) Joseph Tunney: But I'm going into North Dining Hall and my freshmen are going into NDH for two years and they won't see anything. That goes against the spirit of the bill. Maybe this is a debate point.
- v) Aidan Rezner: I totally understand. This is supposed to be an inclusive bill. Fisher is not on the NDH wall as it stands because it is not a North Quad dorm.
- w) Joseph Tunney: If it was just a year thing, I would completely understand..
- x) Aidan Rezner: You are saying that because Zahm still exists as a building, is that your point there?
- y) Joseph Tunney: And the circumstance that it was a transition dorm beforehand and other dorms, even if they were not on North Quad, such as Alumni and Sorin, were going back to their dorms. I feel our situation is a little bit unique.

- 
- z) Aidan Rezner: We still see that as still a transitional process. I totally understand your point.
- aa) Sam Godinez: We did think a lot about this. The way we see the NDH wall is that it is not the residence hall, the building, but the residence hall, the community. The names on the NDH wall are structured so that each dorm is representative of the community in that specific hall. Unfortunately Zahm is gone. If the community is gone, it doesn't make sense to keep up there. It is not because of the building, but it is a result of the community.
- bb) Justin Aguiar: In Keough, there are at least three former Zahm residents who are academic sophomores.
- cc) Aidan Rezner: How is that possible? In terms of they lived in Zahm for one year? We see it as a traditional dorm now. You are still part of the community that have moved into that space and you are going to move back to that initial hall. We view it as a transitional space. The people who were placed in Zahm Hall and see it as their community, most of those are going to be graduating this year.
- dd) Amelia Forrest: I am interested if we can add language to the bill. This isn't a motion for amending, it is more of a question. It's clear that me and Louis and Joseph that have all lived in or will live in Zahm feel conflicted about this. I understand the Grace and Flanner point, but I think it is different because no one lives there.

---

Here people live there. If you would be open to drawing distinction between halls that are discontinued and no longer housing versus halls that are discontinued but have people living in them. There is a difference between that. I've talked to Sam about this and talked to whoever the cosponsors are. That is a change I'd be interested in seeing.

ee) Sam Godinez: I have reservations about that. I will state my reasons why.

ff) Aidan Rezner: We will wait on that. If you want to make the motion during debate, you are able to.

gg) Ian Schowe: Has it ever been updated since NDH has been built, or it is a relic of the time when NDH has built?

hh) Sam Godinez: There were renovations in 2018 in NDH in general. I don't know if they placed the wall in there as it was there or if they renovated it. But, it hasn't had any changes since 2018 at all.

ii) Lulu Romero: The wall is supposed to represent the communities of xyz dorm versus the actual structured building?

jj) Aidan Rezner: Yes, that's the message we got from Residential Life.

## 2. Debate

a) Belle Marchetti: Two things. I'm a senior, so most of the people living in Zahm their freshman year are graduating. But, I know there are some architecture students who will be here for their fifth

---

year. So, I feel that it is a little rude for that to be gone in their final year here. Also, I know you talked about precedence with Grace and Flanner, but in Duncan, Pangborn is on the wall. When the stadium was renovated and Duncan was built, Pangborn was a swing dorm. So, the precedent would be that swing dorms would be on the wall.

- b) Aidan Rezner: I appreciate that point. We made it because we don't know the timeline. Eventually community does not hold. No one has lived in Grace and Flanner in a very long time. Additionally, the space in Duncan has not been updated in a long time, since it was constructed. We talked with Rec Sports individuals and they didn't know it needed to be updated. They are not keeping track of the halls being created and destroyed. They are not making those decisions because they don't know the changes in Residential Life. But yes, swing dorms should be included, but it is the dorms without a standard community set there.
- c) Peter Schimpf: I will say with respect to Grace and Flanner and Holy Cross that they no longer exist as residence halls, there is still a strong connection with alumni. I've spoken to alumni from Holy Cross Hall, which was torn down in 1990. There are professors here who lived in Grace and Flanner. It is worth noting that Grace



---

and flanner have an ND page, so the alumni community still exists and still wants to see that reflected on campus

- d) Bridget Schippers: Maybe we can consider seeing this wall as communities that have historically been a part of NDH and who have felt community at it. Maybe it is an option to edit it to include additional hall communities that are now a part of North Quad, but not to take one off because there are alumni who still come and visit and use the dining hall to avoid seeing themselves erased from the history.
- e) Jayden Espinoza: I feel conflicted on both sides. I see the validity to maintaining Zahm on the wall. In O'Neill, men who formerly lived in Zahm have asked to keep it on. It is worthwhile to think there, while there is an entire class of men who lived in Zahm, Grace, or Flanner, as the years go on, the amount of people who are part of the ND community will continue to be smaller, especially as we have new residence halls We need to set a precedent. Are we having a historic hall with every residence hall ever, especially as halls are demolished? There is a way of having a memorial or space that engages alumni that isn't dining halls that students primarily use. We can have a space like the Eck Visitor Center that has all of the residence halls. It is important to honor the people that lived in these, but we don't need it in space that is 80-90% predominately used by students or people who work at the

---

university. I acknowledge that there are special circumstances, like people who lived in Zahm that are architecture majors or people who have lived in Zahm. My conflict is that even if you lived in Zahm, you are not a person in Zahm. A Fisher person in Zahm, like in the case you said where freshmen are living in Zahm or for freshman and sophomore year. Do you foresee them seeing Zahm as part of themselves or when Fisher is reinstated and they go back to Fisher that they will feel connected with Fisher.

- f) Joseph Tunney: I don't think it is a Zahm thing, I think it's a Fisher thing. I think it's a lack of representation thing. It is a tough thing because we will be there for two years and I know there will be a host of 55 guys eating at NDH 15 times a week. For their sophomore and their junior year, either they eat at SHD their senior year or live off campus their senior year. I know it is a small thing. I don't know, I haven't lived in Zahm yet. It feels like we are out there in the wilderness.
- g) Clay Chauncey: I want to build on James' point. The fact that the NDH wall doesn't already have Grace and Flanner points to the fact that precedent has already been set that this is for active living communities where students currently live. It is not a memorial. I see point that any community someone has lived in deserves recognition on this campus, but the precedent it set by NDH wall that this is active lived in dorms.

- 
- h) Sam Godinez Primarily speaking, the NDH wall is for Notre Dame students. North residents are ones going every day to NDH. If we were to make it historical and to have all of the previous dorms, then we would have to include Brownstone Hall, which was demolished in WWII, which wouldn't make any sense. To make it historical doesn't make any sense to add it on a wall used every day. It could be added somewhere else, but not in the North Dining Hall. We need to weigh the pros and cons. Are we shutting down legislation that would benefit a lot more people than do more damage? JFam, is going to get their name up in the summer, including Fisher Undergrad, and the new dorm, which we don't even know the name of yet. The moment we know their names, it is almost guaranteed they get their names on the wall, so they are already properly represented there. It would have more benefits in the long term for more people. Generally speaking, I don't want to consider taking something off or adding something else because Aidan and I spoke with Res Life and I worry adding something we didn't talk about will go against what we discussed and I don't want to have any sort of conflicting opinions.
- i) Aidan Rezner: As a point of clarification, there is no current plan. The NDH wall will not get updated and will continue to not get updated without a current plan. This is the current plan we discussed with Residential Life.

- 
- j) Ryan Lally: There is merit to both sides. I like the idea that Bridget had of making it historical. The happy medium is already provided for within the legislation to accommodate this with the four year grace period. With that four year period, it makes it that recent alumni can come back and still feel appreciated. By the time they are gone, they realize they have been out of college for four years and they will understand. It is hypocritical that this is included in the legislation, but Zahm is very directly not included in it. If you are included in it, you are taking one dorm and saying this doesn't apply to you. It is concerning. I hadn't thought about the bill or resolution a lot until I was approached by former Zahm residents and they expressed very strong concerns saying we want to be included and this ultimately is a matter of representation. It feels strange to exclude one community from the provision that you set forth.
- k) Jacob Zybura: Maria, the senator for JFam was not able to be here. This is a bill JFam has rallied behind. There have been a few instances on campus where, since they are fairly new in 2020 and they aren't fairly represented, this is important for their community. Consider how you feel if your dorm wasn't on the wall in North Dining Hall.
- l) Max Feist: Point of inquiry. Do unfriendly amendments have to happen in debate?

- 
- m) Hunter Brooke: They can't happen during the vote.
  - n) Max Feist: That was my question. Thank you.
  - o) Amelia Forest: I was trying to make an amendment but I wasn't called on.
  - p) Andrew Ryan: In previous times, you have held off on a vote to move to a vote if there were still hands.
  - q) Aidan Rezner: If there is a second, I have to go into the vote.
  - r) Andrew Ryan: But I think there is a precedent.
  - s) Aidan Rezner: I didn't catch it. This is not purposeful on my part. This is simply the way that it occurred. It must be voted on now.
  - t) Max Feist: Is there a way we can suspend the rules?
  - u) Aidan Rezner: With an immediate motion and a  $\frac{3}{4}$  vote, we can suspend the rules.
  - v) Max Feist motions to suspend parliamentary procedure.
  - w) The motion fails.
  - x) Aidan Rezner: The motion fails, we are voting on it. Again, this can be brought back in a different format. This is not the end of the bill.

### 3. Vote

- a) The resolution was passed.

## B. [SO2324-18a: An Order to Clarify and Amend Article XII and XV of the Constitution](#)

### 1. Questioning

- 
- a) Sam Godinez: Are we voting in block?
  - b) Hunter Brooke: Separately. We just thought it was easier to write one memorandum, rather than two. Also, because they were initially the same order, we thought it would be more appropriate. 18a and 18c were originally just 18. Not voting in block.
- C. [SO2324-18c: An Order to Clarify and Amend Article XII and XV of the Constitution](#)
1. [Memorandum from the Committee on the Constitution Regarding SO2324-18a and SO2324-18c](#)
  2. Questioning
    - a) Sam Godinez motions to vote for SO2324-18a and SO2324018c in block.
    - b) Jacob Zybur: Hunter, in two sentences, can you explain what this is?
    - c) Hunter Brooke: The first is purely formatting and moving things around, no real changes. The second one is borrowing people from endorsing during the petitioning phase who already cannot endorse during the campaigning phase. We saw a lack of rules governing the petitioning phase. None of these changes take effect until the end of this term to make sure we are not upsetting the current elections. Our is not to change any current rules, we are intentionally doing this for the future.
  3. Debate

---

4. Vote

- a) The orders were passed.

D. [SO2324-19: An Order to Amend Article I of the Constitution Regarding GreenDot Training](#)

1. [Memorandum from the Committee on the Constitution Regarding SO2324-19](#)

2. Questioning

- a) Amelia Forrest: Libby, really shortly, what is the bill?
- b) Libby Meister: We had an issue this year where there were a lot of first years that did not get GreenNDot trained in time because they were enumerated only four weeks later. There were a ton of spots that were available for last minute GreenNDot training, leading to 15 people violating the Constitution by not getting trained. If we extend it by four academic weeks, the deadline for people elected FYCC to help mitigate this issue and let them get GreenNDot trained on time.

3. Debate

- a) Sam Godinez: I have one sentence, I appreciate Libby for all the work she did on this issue. A lot of us can have agreements or disagreements on the importance of GreenNDot training, but this would help FYCC.

b) Hunter Brooke: Also, I appreciate both Sam and Libby. They were writing this individually, so I appreciate that you could come together on this.

4. Vote

a) The order was/was not passed.

E. [SO2324-23: An Order to Amend Article I of the Constitution](#)

F. [SO2324-24: An Order to Amend Article XIII of the Constitution](#)

1. Andrew Ryan motions to vote for SO2324-23 and SO2324-24 in block.

2. Questioning

a) Lulu Romero: Libby, can we get a three sentence summary?

b) Libby Meister: I will give it to Andrew.

c) Andrew Ryan: The first one just states the same exact thing twice.

In an example, for the first year line of succession regarding class officers. This is getting rid of this because the same thing applies to all of them. The second one is getting rid of the First Years Study Program and determining who is of good academic standing because that is not a thing and the Office of the Registrar handles that. Also, the Office of Community Standards and Housing is not a thing. That renames it to the Office of Community Standards because that is who is responsible for student behavior.

3. Debate

4. Vote

a) The orders were passed.



G. [Student Union Annual Award Nominations: Frank O'Malley Undergraduate Teaching Award](#)

1. Aidan Rezner: This gets a little more difficult. There are lots of nominations. How we are doing this, we will go with the same process for all of the awards. When it comes to voting, I will send a Google Form with all of the names and you will vote. If it goes to a run off, I will send a google form with two names and you will vote again.
2. Questioning
3. Debate
  - a) Andrew Ryan: Can you explain how this works?
  - b) Koran Isa: Each year, J Council solicits nominations for these awards. This one is for the Frank O'Malley Undergraduate Teaching Award. The first page is the section in the Constitution that talks about it and its requirements. Now you vote on who wins the award.
  - c) Hunter Brooke: I just want to state that if no one gets 50% of the vote, it will immediately go to a runoff.
4. Vote
  - a) The nomination was approved for Father Kevin Grove.

H. [Student Union Annual Award Nominations: Irish Clover Award](#)

1. Questioning
  - a) Aidan Rezner: This award includes some people in the room who have been nominated. You guys are not allowed to vote.

- 
- b) Bridget Schippers: We can't vote either way.
  - c) Aidan Rezner: You will have to leave the room for the vote and debate.

## 2. Debate

- a) Justin Aguiar: Quick question. This says a student or faculty member. Is that split up on the ballot?
- b) Koryn Isa: These are all students. The item on the agenda following is the Nancy J. Walsh Award, which is for faculty, staff, and administrators. This one is just for students.
- c) Sam Godinez: I think we should consider giving it to Paul Stoller. I don't know what happened. I know he really liked his job. He was class president for three years. I think Paul Stoller deserves this.
- d) Max Feist: I don't know a ton either, but from what I know about Paul, he was nice and charismatic. He really loved class council, which is often underrated.
- e) Belle Marchetti: Let's not overlook Kylie. It's Women's History Month. She was the first female leprechaun at an ND football game. I know her personally, but, reading this, I think she has done amazing this and is deserving.
- f) Bella Vasquez: She is one of nicest people you will ever meet. She loves ND. She loves this school. She is the first female leprechaun at an ND football game, which is really important.

- 
- g) Jayden Espinoza: Paul. Example fo someone’s who has give to student body. Three years to student is on. In first year of FUEL, he was present an active. He is why i chose to reengage with student unin
  - h) Amelia Forrest: shoutout to Dominic. Done a lot for first gen students. Not somethign that always gets recognized as opposed to stugov position
  - i) Aleah Applin: add to what you said about Dominic. In short Time her he has done a lot. He’s not as public., Kylie is on field. Everyone we see actively. He touches on commmuntiy on one on one. You dont need to be face fo something to make a real impact n this campus.

### 3. Vote

- a) Iyabo Ojulari: Are we allowed to vote as a new member?
- b) Aidan Rezner: No, as a new member you are not allowed to vote, but you can vote as a proxy.
- c) The nomination was approved for Dominic Blanco.

## I. [Student Union Annual Award Nominations: Nancy J. Walsh Irish Clover Award Nominations](#)

### 1. Questioning

- a) Koryn Isa: I had the privilege of working with Devon for a year now. Judicial Council Advisor is a hard job and takes a lot of selflessness. Everything you see us do is because Devon is willing

---

to make a lot of sacrifices for use. Also, this is his last year, so giving him this award would mean a lot.

- b) Koryn Isa cedes time to Thomas Musgrave.
- c) Thomas Musgrave: The Peer Advocacy Program would not exist without Devon. He was impactful to get it added. He is willing to meet at awkward times for faculty, like 10 pm or 10 am. He puts in a lot to organize and encourage you to vote.
- d) Lulu Romero: I have known Devon for a long time. He was my first boss here. I know I can go back to him and ask him for advice. I have asked him for advice. He is always willing to talk to you and give you support and guidance that you can't find in another person.
- e) Sam Godinez: I want to highlight Tony Polloto. I wrote this. For a lot of issues in Senate in the past two years, 20% Tony has helped a lot even if it is not infrastructure related. For the Moreau issues, he directed me to Mattison at that point. Tony deserves this award.
- f) Ryan Murray: To advocate for Tony, he has been helpful with fixing Carroll Hall for a long time. Now we can keep our feet dry walking to class. He is communicative and makes sure we see practical results.
- g) Ian Schowe: As a biochem major, I just wanted to say that Diane is a great advisor. She has made a great impact on students.

- 
- h) Hunter Brooke: Anthony is a great guy, but I would be remiss if I didn't ask you to consider Devon. This is his last year and he has been a steadfast advisor for Judicial Council for the past eight years. He has sacrificed his personal time, consistently showing up to hearings and stuff late at night. He is the reason why we have clean elections, and clean and ethical behavior. J Council would not be an inch of what it is today without Devon. He is an integral part of the Student Union. Thank him for that service
- i) Aleah Applin: Is it his last year at the university?
- j) Hunter Brooke: No, it is just his last year for J Council, but he is staying at the university.
- k) Mo Doyle: Thank you for asking that question. He is not leaving the university, just the Judicial Council.

2. Debate

3. Vote

- a) The nomination was approved for Devon Sanchez-Ossorio.

J. [Student Union Annual Award Nominations: Michael J. Palumbo Award](#)

1. Andrew Ryan motions to move the Student Union Annual Award

Nominations: Michael J. Palumbo Award from New Business to General Orders.

2. Questioning

- a) Sam Godinez: How did you go about the nomination for Lulu?

---

b) Koryn Isa: The Student Union Ethics Commission considered length of service, commitment to class council for the entirety of her tenure, and role on the SUEC for two years where she has exemplified great ability to uphold the Constitution.

3. Debate

a) Libby Meister: I'm a senate rep on that commission. We thought long and hard about the nomination. We chose her based on her true dedication to Class Council. As Max said, it is sometimes an overlooked part of Student Government. In addition to Student Government, I've seen her contributions in Lyons and all of that. Everyone I know has amazing things to say about her.

4. Vote

a) The nomination was approved.

K. [A Nomination for Student Union Board Executive Director](#)

1. Andrew Ryan motions to A Nomination for Student Union Board Executive Director from New Business to General Orders.

2. Questioning

a) Jacob Zybura: Are you able to share who the Sound of SUB is for this year?

b) Lily Condodina: No, but soon.

c) Andrew Ryan: Briefly, what are you excited about in this role, if confirmed?

- 
- d) Emily McDougall: I am excited to continue to bring great artists, speakers, and events to campus.

3. Debate

- a) Rose Nguyen: I went to high school with Emily. We worked on class council there. I know she has one of the best work ethics I've ever seen. She is passionate. She is organized. She is a great person to lead SUB next year.
- b) Lily Condodina: Obviously I nominated Emily, but she has shown an immense dedication to SUB over the past three years. She was a Flipper freshman year. She handles the most amount of things out of any Director of Programming this year. She is always willing to sit in the SUB office with me and brainstorm, even if it is not for the committees she's in charge of. She has shown that she can handle all seven committees and various departments of SUB.
- c) Belle Marchetti: I've known Emily since freshman year in SUB. I'm also in SUB. I am just reiterating what other people have said. She is so excited about SUB. She always comes to meetings with a smile and is always willing to help. She doesn't work directly with me, but I know I can always go and ask her questions and she is willing to help.

4. Vote

- a) The nomination was approved.

VI. New Business

- 
- A. [SS2324-12: A Resolution Calling On Sustainable Investment Strategies](#)
  - B. [SO2324-25: An Order to Establish a Legislative Formatting Code](#)

VII. Announcements

- A. Hunter Brooke: All of you who are currently senators are required to meet with the people replacing you next year. Reach out, meet with them, and talk about Senate. If you are new to Senate, please stay behind for the information session.
- B. Lily Condodina: Thank you for everyone who came to our events this week. We did a tabling at the Women Owned Business Market. Today we had Dogs and Donuts. Tomorrow we have ShamRock n' Roll for St. Patty's Day. We have Acousticafe as usual from 8:30-10:30. Keep a lookout for Sound of SUB promotion and announcements.
- C. Charlie Pehl: I know Aidan said it already, but everyone should consider signing up for Back The Bend. You can sign up for a team with all your friends. There will be free food and T-shirts. This is a quick and easy way to make a huge impact in the South Bend community. Let your dorms know about it.

VIII. Adjournment

- A. The meeting is adjourned.