

**Student Senate Minutes**  
**November 25, 1996**

- I. Meeting called to order at 6:17
- II. Opening Prayer by Ryan McInerney.
- III. Approval of Minutes
  - Mark Leen moves for unanimous consent.
  - Mary Slatt seconds.
- IV. Roll Call:
  - Members present: Seth Miller, Megan Murray, Erin Hoffman, Shane Bieglow, Mike Gaffud, Mark Leen, Mary Gillard, Mike Irvine, Allyson Luck, Mike Tobin, Peter Cesaro, Tony Siefring, Mary Slatt, Julie Lyzinski, and Stacey McNulty.
- V. Old Business:
  - A. Alumni-Senior Club
    - Seth: Our resolution on Alumni-Senior Club should be sent with procedural authority. I make a motion to send this to CLC with unanimous Senate consent.
    - Ryan: We don't want to send this to both CLC and Prof. O'Hara because she will wait to hear what the CLC has to say before she responds.
    - Shane: The longer we wait, the less power Senate has.
    - Seth: We have CLC on 12/2 and 12/9.
    - Mike: I don't know how happy they'll be about the inclusion of alcohol. I think we should send it to Prof. O'Hara and if she doesn't respond by the 9th then we take it to CLC.
    - Seth: We made a lot of good points that I think the CLC will respond to in a positive way. It is a CLC issue.
    - Ryan: I still think the faculty and administrators on CLC will not be that receptive to us - I think the fact that it is alcohol related might be a problem.
    - Seth: I withdraw my unanimous consent motion, but I move for a roll call vote to send it to CLC.
    - Mike Tobin: If the CLC passes it, that's great. But if they don't pass it, then Senate doesn't have the power to do anything more.
    - Erin: Why are we sending to CLC to send it to Joe who is going to say that he already has a committee working on it? My feeling from Joe was that the alcohol aspect is non-negotiable.
    - Mike Irvine: If it gets defeated in CLC I don't know what we would do.
    - Erin: My suggestion is that we work with Joe behind the scenes - that might be the best way to go about it.

- Mark: Why don't we send it to Joe?
- Mike Irvine: Then if nothing happens we go to CLC?
- Seth: It's not like that - its an ad hoc committee working with Joe with the resolution in hand.
- Ryan: This seems very bureaucratic. Joe said that he wouldn't change the alcohol aspect. It's not that difficult.
- Seth: What's your feeling on meeting with Joe?
- Ryan: We did - at the last Senate meeting.
- Erin: But talking to Joe in a small, behind-the-scenes manner is different.
- Seth: What we have in hand is a resolution that has passed. Now we have to think about the best way to go about it. So we can talk to Joe behind closed doors, go to Sr. Jean Lenz, and then come back to Senate with the results.
- Ryan: I don't think we should go in to compromise.
- Seth: We aren't compromising.
- Ryan: Then why not send the resolution?
- Erin: I'm afraid that puts them on the defensive.
- Mike Irvine: I think we should make it public. Prof. O'Hara needs to see this.
- Gaffud: I thought we decided to send it to Prof. O'Hara and the CLC the last time we met.
- Seth: I think working with them as students is different from sending resolutions.
- Gaffud: I second Seth's motion to send it to CLC.
- Mike Tobin: I think we should talk to Joe first. He'll lay it out on the table and then we can go to the CLC - then we'll have the inside scoop. Talk to Joe before the 9th and take it to CLC then.
- Seth: I withdraw my motion.
- Mike Tobin: So, we will take this informal resolution to Joe in order to discuss the University's standpoint and then we can figure out what to do from there. If we need to, we can take it to CLC then.
- Mike Tobin moves to close the meeting.
- Mike Gaffud seconds.

VI. Meeting adjourned at 6:45 by Stacey McNulty.

Respectfully submitted,

Stacey McNulty