

- I. Opening Prayer
- II. Roll Call
  - A. Roll Call Question: What's your favorite section in a bookstore or library?
- III. Approval of Minutes - [16 February 2022](#)
- IV. Executive Announcements
  - A. [Hall of the Year Grading Sign-Ups](#)
  - B. [2022-2023 Executive Cabinet Applications](#) - Closes 27 February
  - C. [Inclusive Campus Survey](#) - Closes 4 March
  - D. Senate Apparel Reminder
  - E. [Report on SO2122-08](#)
    1. Benjamin Erhardt: We met with the interim provost to talk through the resolution and potential legal roadblocks implementing this technology.  
We called their attention to the COVID accommodations website.
  - F. Student Union Awards Nominations! - Closes at Noon, 1 March
    1. [Michael J. Palumbo Award](#)
    2. [Irish Clover Awards](#)
    3. [Frank O'Malley Undergraduate Teaching Award](#)
  - G. Senate Surprise!
- V. Nominations
  - A. [Nomination for Judicial Council President](#)
    1. Questioning
      - a) Allan Njomo: Where were you when Zayne left One Direction?
      - b) Madison Nemeth: A gym.

2. Debate

- a) Thomas Davis: I would second David's statement that there is no one better to be in this position. I made the lucky decision to make her the Deputy Parliamentarian when I was Parliamentarian.

- B. [Nomination for SUB Executive Director](#)

1. Questioning

- a) Allan Njomo: Where were you when Zayne left One Direction?
- b) Rachel Dorfner: I don't remember because I wasn't a One Direction fan.

- VI. General Orders

- A. [SO2122-07: An Order to Amend the Jurisdiction of the Election Committee](#)

1. Veronica Slevin: Motion to table the order until we have Mo's answer.
2. Motion tabled
3. Motion to untable order passes
4. Order passes

- B. [SO 2122-18: An Order Calling for a Referendum Regarding the Adjustment of the Methods of Student Union Funds Allocations](#)

1. Questioning

- a) Thomas Davis: What is the Judicial Council's interpretation on the 40% in the Constitution?
- b) David Haungs: It is referenced in article 16 section 3, D14 from page 39 to 40. The only way the 59% number can be shifted is if the Senate approves of the procedure and the Senate enacts it.

FMB is allowed to allocate some more to clubs, particularly during winter allocation. We're trying to push up the floor, not change the flexibility. FMB is dominated by Student Union members, so it's not an equitable way to guarantee funding for CCC.

- c) Abraham Figueroa: How many clubs are self-sufficient?
- d) Maddie Tupy: 36% are self sufficient.
- e) Thomas Davis: Would this be feasible to go out in the next election cycle?
- f) David Haungs: Yes.
- g) Thomas Davis: How would the senior outgoing class perspective be viewed?
- h) David Haungs: The ballot would go out through the Judicial Council listserv, which includes all students.
- i) Thomas Davis: Do you think the question is fair as written and unbiased?
- j) David: Yes. We listed out Student Union organizations, perhaps we could also list clubs, but there are so many.

## 2. Debate

- a) Benjamin Erhardt: Motion to cap speaking time at 2 minutes.
- b) Abraham Figueroa: We should not limit debate on this resolution. Limiting the time of debate does not allow members of the senate to share information.
- c) Paul Stoller: I have information I want to share.

- d) James Brunette: I think the limit would not be effective because people could yield their time.
- e) Thomas Davis: As a member who has been in this body before, I recommend having a capped speaking time.
- f) Hunter Brooke: Motion to cap speaking time at 5 minutes.
- g) David Haungs: We're voting on the referendum, not on the merits of what we're asking the students.
- h) Timothy Gallagher: It seems ridiculous to ask students given they don't usually know what Student Government provides for them. If 80% percent of students don't know what the Student Union does, I think they are an unqualified voting body.
- i) Hunter Brooke: The wording of this question is probably as good as it can get. It is my opinion that students will be able to recognize aspects of the Student Union, such as the Hall Presidents Council.
- j) Abraham Figueroa: There are still multiple implications we don't understand. To send this to the student body wouldn't provide accurate data. I don't see how adding a referendum will add significance to our debate.
- k) Paul Stoller: Students do not understand the minutiae of this specific topic. In years past, this has been very head to head. I think the goal for us this year should be to talk through this together.

- l) Ian Baker: Because the question is so nuanced, I think the way the question is phrased is very leading. Potentially change the question to “should this happen” as opposed to “do you favor.” There’s a lot of money at play here.
- m) Zachary Cortez: At what point are students able to have a say in something they are funding?
- n) David Haungs: This is just asking to add one data point. We’ve based this order on the premise that students value the Student Union as much as clubs. This would be weighed alongside analytics.
- o) Faith Woods: Where would this be going out?
- p) David Haungs: The plan is class council elections.
- q) Faith Woods: The class of 2023 and 2024 are running unopposed. I feel like it’s not people’s biggest interest and most of the student body is not dying to fix this.
- r) Martha Castellini: If the students value them 50/50, would the funds be split 50/50?
- s) David Haungs: No.
- t) Jack Rotolo: I want to offer my opinion, I agree this is a nuanced issue. I agree students should be asked what to do with their money. I still struggle to understand this issue and I have sat on FMB the last year. This is something that should most likely not be done.

- u) Benjamin Erhardt: The results of this survey are not binding. We want to take as much input as we can. I don't feel comfortable making this decision unless the student body has some say.
- v) Ian Baker: I know it's important to gauge student body feedback. I think in terms of a referendum, this will become a weighted data point from an uninformed population for no reason. The formulation of a student committee might be a better decision.
- w) Will Gentry: I would like to push back on the idea the student body would struggle to grasp this question. Ultimately, this is a top level decision. The top line number is easy for students to understand. I think to be fully democratic with this \$95, we should have this referendum.
- x) Paul Stoller: I understand the desire for student input. There will never be an informed decision without information. We can still have an in depth discussion as a large body.
- y) Abraham Figueroa: The problem I have is the implications of the question. Most of the student body doesn't know where the money is going toward or what the Student Union does.
- z) Michael Jekot: I motion to set a hard cap on this meeting at 7:05.
- aa) Paul Stoller: Do you think we could have a discussion about the committee?
- bb) Matthew Bisner: We are in debate on the motion.
- cc) Paul Stoller: I retract my point of debate.

- dd) Motion passes
- ee) Thomas Davis: The referendum would be placed on a class council election, which is actually going to be in favor of class council. The time for a referendum has passed, that would be a student body election. All of you are democratically elected. Talk to your residents in a more minute manner and bring that discussion back here.
- ff) David Haungs: I would point to the precedent from 2 years ago. Approximately 90% of students would be included in this referendum.
- gg) Thomas Davis: Two years ago, the referendum failed miserably. I would like to reconsider Matty's suggestion last week so this resolution can go to a committee. The data point for this will not be very helpful for this.
- hh) Timmy Gallagher: I've been involved with Student Government for 4 years now and I don't think the student body is not educated enough on this topic.
- ii) Ian Baker: I think using the senators to discuss this issue would be an appropriate use of this body and use the senate as intended.
- jj) Benjamin Erhardt: There are two lines of argument on this. I believe the senate is well informed enough to make a vote.
- kk) Order is rejected

- 
- C. Discussion on [SO 2122-19: An Order to Amend the Constitution to Adjust the Methods of Student Union Funds Allocations](#)
1. Motion to postpone this to a later date
  2. Order postponed to next Senate
- VII. New Business
- A. [SS2122-09: An Order to Bestow Emeritus Status on Matty Bisner](#)
  - B. [SS2122-10: An Order to Bestow Emeritus Status on Thomas Davis II](#)
- VIII. Announcements
- A. Faith Woods: Show Some Skin is this week.
  - B. Tavin Martin: I am working on University initiatives and we need sponsors.
  - C. Kate Mclaughlin: Acousticafe is this week. King Richard is being shown this week.
- IX. Adjournment