

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME STUDENT SENATE

February 4th, 2019

Meeting Agenda

- I. Opening Prayer
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of Minutes
 - A. Vote: Zach Spitzer
 - B. Second: Mark Spretnjak
 1. Vote: All Approved
- IV. Executive Announcements
 - A. Gates McGavick: We will send the memo that we presented to the Board of Trustees around to you guys. We will push the full rundown of the lunch until next week in the interest of time.
 - B. Corey Gayheart: We would like to welcome the FUELers here tonight. They are learning about all the different departments in student government. They are working to put on an International Film Festival this February. If you want more information go check out the Student Gov Facebook Page, and the tickets are \$3 per movie.
- V. General Orders
 - A. Dept. of Health & Wellness Update - Grace Dean, Student Government Director
 1. Grace Dean: Right now Health and Wellness is working with the UCC to revamp how they're communicating with students. We met with a staff psychologist who works on the website and he proposed to us some changes to navigate it towards more general feelings and how you're interacting with those feelings. They need a lot of student feedback, and he brought up a series of surveys that he might want to send out to the student body. If you're interested in a focus group, please write your email down on this.
 - B. Resolution SO1819-06: Increasing Club Funding Through Allocations in the Student Union Constitution
 1. Sam Scaglione: (*reads resolution*)
 2. Corey Gayheart: Would you like to explain the context of this?

3. Sam Scaglione: I know you all got a chance to look at this, and the only things we changed in it was the percentages. Before it was 37%, and now we changed it to 40%. Last year, there was a similar amendment that was passed. The 1% came from the FMB Collaboration Fund which was an underutilised resource.
4. Corey Gayheart: We should move to informal consideration so that we have more of a free flowing conversation.
5. Motion to move to informal consideration:
 - a) Second: Mark Spretnjak
 - b) Vote: All approved
6. Zach Spitzer: There are a lot of groups that are affected from the 3% taken away. Will this be balanced?
7. Sam Scaglione: FMB meets to allocate the money. We can't guarantee how that will be allocated, but if it were to be a straight across bunch, they would retain 95% of the allocation.
8. Zach Spitzer: It's based on presentation of funds?
9. Sam Scaglione: The new Treasurer for each organization will present to FMB from the previous year with the anticipated budget for each semester. Based on the presentation, the FMB will then make a designation about what the funds need to be.
10. Corey Gayheart: The committee on the budget contains the heads of all the branches. We sent down the first one because JCC was receiving a large cut. They had to reallocate the funds as needed and resubmitted the budget proposal.
11. Alyssa Ngo: You sit on both the CCC and the Committee on the Budget. In your experience you see there's a lack of funding for clubs and definitely some money that can be redistributed?
12. Sam Scaglione: Do you want to share the spreadsheet? What we do is look at prior FMB allocations to see how much of the original request each branch received and the total projected event expenses and how much we were able to allocate them. Corey's pulling it up right now, but basically the total projected expenses last year was \$2.198 million and the amount we had to allocate was \$339,000. They received about 15% of their requests. That does not take into account the fundraising they need to do.
13. Zach Spitzer: Do you know if you can go into detail on the HPC numbers?
14. Joe Trzaska: We don't do anything for HPC, but that money funds signature events for halls.
15. Alyssa Ngo: Is all the funding that dorms get from the HPC budget or extra money on top of what the dorms have?

16. Joe Trzaska: It depends on the dorm and the event. Keenan Revue gets funds other ways. Some smaller events HPC subsidizes entirely. It varies basically.
17. Lindsay McCray: The 3% would be how much money?
18. Sam Scaglione: I can give you the number based on last year. I believe it's close to \$30,000.
19. Lindsay McCray: One of my concerns is that \$30,000 could be the entire budget of several groups listed on here and this proposal could leave room for that to be a possibility.
20. Corey Gayheart: That's possible right now. It's highly unlikely that would happen.
21. Sam Scaglione: Its \$26,769.
22. Corey Gayheart: In theory, we could not fund one of these groups, but that would never be approved, just as last year when JCC got a 50% budget cut.
23. Sam Cannova: Without the extra money, JCC's events would have been crippled. I also wrote a document and sent it to some of my friends and I think that's what you guys might have read. The 3% change sounds kind of small, but it varies year by year. That is a lot of money, but not all of that money would be taken from class councils. Just to be sure, I think we should look back at the previous time when we had less money than expected, and that was last year when JCC was underfunded by about \$4-5,000. FMB sent it back, and I would like to show you some numbers. The first sheet is the breakdown of the budgets that were proposed and the next two sheets is breaking down how they were different. There were three groups that had allocations reduced, and those were the Sophomore CC, the Senior CC, and SUB. The brunt of this weight was not carried evenly. Sophomore gave \$3,000, SUB gave \$500, and Senior gave \$1,500. The allocation change is hugely different percentage-wise. When the budget went back to the FMB, there was a disproportionate change. The Class Councils have the opportunity to make money, but if we force them to become profit-seeking, it changes their mission. The crux of this issue is how nuanced and how unspecified it is. The way this is asking for it is potentially harmful. It could come from Executive Cabinet or SUB so that the class councils are not underfunded. My main concern is that we risk allowing the potential to target the groups that look to unite students across campus, and we run the risk to this happening to any organization within the student union.

24. Halena Hadi: We appreciate your stance, but we're going to stick to questions of the authors. We can seek a motion for debate.
25. DC Morris: Who would be receiving the funding? All clubs or who?
26. Corey Gayheart: It's technically allocated to CCC's board, who allocates it from there.
27. Sam Scaglione: We have six divisions, and we have over 400 student clubs on campus, and we only fund undergraduate clubs. They present a budget to us, and there's need-based funding.
28. DC Morris: The \$2.9 million is the projected expenses of the clubs?
29. Sam Scaglione: Yes. In order to meet the huge disparity of what we can fund, we've implemented tier system for meaningful expenses based on what clubs are spending and making our cutting process easier.
30. Lindsay McCray: One thing I'm noting is that lots of clubs and organizations do fundraising but we're taking money from organizations that would have a hard time fundraising. Why? I'm the Treasurer of a club sport and we get \$0 from CCC and we exist only on our fundraising. In ND Day, the top earners were 5 clubs, 2 non profits, and 2 halls. Why do they need more money when they can fundraise?
31. Sam Scaglione: I don't think it's as straightforward. Club sports have a great alumni network. There might be clubs that people are causally involved with but they don't have the amount of money to send back to the school. There are new clubs without alumni networks. In terms of fundraising, the mission and purpose of clubs is not to fundraise, but most of their events have become so in order to raise money to attend conferences or competitions. Its hindering them from reaching their goals on campus.
32. Corey Gayheart: We campaigned on this. This was something we consistently heard from groups on campus, that they don't have enough money. This isn't going to solve all of our problems because there's clearly a way larger financial need. One of the biggest things we heard is that it's a need that is there.
33. Mark Spretnjak: How consistently do these groups use all of their budget?
34. Sam Scaglione: There are two different structures: For government groups, all of our budgets reset. Whatever we don't spend goes back into the endowment. For clubs, whatever they don't use stays in their account. If they continue to hold on to those, they don't apply for funding.
35. Jin Kim: When we allocate their funding, they're incentivized to use all of it. Every single year, we check to see if they have money leftover and its

always down to cents. They're incentivized to use it because if they don't use it, the next year we're not giving them as much money.

36. Mark Spretnjak: doesn't that incentivize bad spending?
37. Jin Kim: That's why you see a lot of events around campus. It's a way to get rid of the money.
38. Bethany Bogges: We also have to get our expenditures approved so they're not frivolous.
39. Erin Hiestand: Does Senate have any money, and if they don't why are they listed?
40. Corey Gayheart: So, no. That being said, there was no request made from me for money because there wasn't a need there, and there it hasn't been used for apparel or food, and we can just use Executive Cabinet funds for that.
41. Weston Dell: It seems like the anxiety over this is coming from the class councils losing their funding. Can we ensure it doesn't?
42. Jin Kim: That's difficult to predetermine because all the treasurers come together and pitch their budgets and we all decide collectively how much goes to each organization and chances are that no one is going to say that we can leave them as they are. The 3% is going to be divided from everybody. We could try and keep it as much as possible for class councils but I can't guarantee it.
43. Corey Gayheart: If this 3% deduction was applied evenly, everyone would still receive at least 95% of this year's budget.
44. Sam Scaglione: There are the percentages, and I added the original allocations.
45. Meghan Howat: Who is responsible for allocating the new funds to clubs?
46. Sam Scaglione: It's important to note that since the funds roll over, not every club applies for funding, and we encourage them to spend wisely. We also allocate concession stands so they can make money. We can survey what we've done in the past and think of how we can most efficiently allocate funds to other clubs and organizations in the future. We meet with each club on an individual basis to double check their expenses to make sure none of their budget items are over-budgeted wildly and we do the best that we can.
47. Matthew Ruddy: What was cut from SUB when they had the allocation change and what do each of these groups primarily spend their money on?
48. Bethany Bogges: I gave JCC \$500 of what we were allocated. I could have used that to do other things as well. In terms of what we spend it on, we spend all of our budget on nine different committees that each has their

own allocated budget. I just went back in my budget of information and that's one of the most visible student government events. The band The 1975 costs \$250,000 just to book, which is why we can't bring big stars to campus. We try and spread it out the best we can, so we're trying to use it wisely but we do use it all.

49. Michael Conlon: We break ours down to committees, and for seniors, its key to get students who are off-campus to interact, which means utilizing spaces that cost more money such as Legends, the Morris Inn where had a mixer, different giveaways, and that's where our costs come in. We do the same thing, with any money we get, we spend it.
50. Lindsay McCray: Do you have a breakdown of how much money gets spent per division?
51. Sam Scaglione: It's confidential. We have closed meetings so we are making moves to see what we can do to increase transparency.
52. Lindsay McCray: People are fine giving the money to clubs that can't fundraise, but there'd be no way to check and see if that's happening?
53. Sam Scaglione: We are responsible for checking that the needs demonstrated are actually legitimate. WE sit every week as a council and debate the issues brought forth to us. You can't see it yourself but we do entertain all the appeals.
54. Corey Gayheart: The money doesn't sit on the CCC. They're not hoarding money.
55. Lindsay McCray: Some people don't need more money, and people would be upset if the money was going to one specific clubs.
56. Caila Lindsay: Why 3%?
57. Sam Scaglione: It started as a joke looking back as past constitutions, and it was misprinted as 40%. We looked at the ramifications it would have, and when we did the math and saw it was 95% of each budget, we realized it was a feasible goal.
58. Erin Hiestand: The off-campus council didn't request any money, but they still got \$1,500. Is there a reason for that?
59. Alex Miskimon: Our elections took place after FMB meeting, and it's the same with Freshman Class ?Council.
60. Bailey Baumbick: Is there a clear distinction between clubs that can fundraise and clubs that can't?
61. Sam Scaglione: We require clubs to fundraise 20% of their dues, and each club must have each member pay \$5 as dues each semester. Clubs project their fundraising and we look at what they raised before and we evaluate that and we talk about honest ways they can raise more.

62. Bailey Baumbick: Class councils can fundraise?
63. Corey Gayheart: Any organization can.
64. DC Morris: There are also clubs that don't get big donations from alumni. I'm wondering what the breakdown of that is, and if one section is more popular. I imagine there are many graduates that give back, but there are many clubs that don't get that. Is alumni donations factored at all in the distribution? Or are all students going to be positively impacted?
65. Sam Scaglione: Part of what we look like is gifts and donations. When we review past expenses, we look at cost per member and revenue they're generating per member, and that's one way to differentiate by club size because a larger club bringing in \$2000 is different than a smaller club doing that.
66. DC Morris: What percentage of clubs are able to generate sizeable donations?
67. Sam Scaglione: I can tell you the number of self-sufficient clubs, which is about 150.
68. Michael on CCC: In terms of clubs we don't get an allocation from, that's about 250, but that we do get a request from, that's 150.
69. Sam Scaglione: About half the clubs don't receive money from us. They can receive department support, etc.
70. Dana Plagenz: Since you ran on this platform, were there other ways you considered to do this?
71. Corey Gayheart: This is part of the puzzle. We're working on having a targeted fundraiser for student activities to expand the endowment because there is clearly a financial need. This is a step in the right direction to balance the needs and interests of all the student groups.
72. Sam Scaglione: I sat down and we went through the endowment to see if there was any way we could take out more money, and sustainably there isn't right now because it's based on the amount of return we get each year. We looked at reducing costs for spaces and catering, but because it's already subsidized, there wasn't a route to do this.
73. Gates McGavick: The general pot of money is influenced by the Shirt and the return on the endowment. This was done a couple years ago, but there's no other way to go through the right process to raise club funds without someone guaranteeing the Shirt guarantees its sales.
74. Sam Scaglione: The amount we get from the Shirt is capped at \$125k and the rest goes to charitable causes.

75. Elisabeth Lasecki: I'm curious if there's a way to clarify some of the language in the resolution to make sure that there's not the potential for some clubs to take the brunt of this cut.
76. Corey Gayheart: It would require an amendment and we would have to work on the language, and I would say that if we were to do that, we would table it to next week to make sure there weren't any adverse impacts, but that is technically a possibility.
77. Joe Trzaska: I don't know how the budget works, but if we want to make the change in budgets proportional, what if we just wrote down all the budgets to set a baseline for next year? It wouldn't require any resolution and it would start everyone from a lower basis.
78. Corey Gayheart: One of the things we need to be careful of is that we don't want the constitution to be specific down to the dollar amount, that can make things more complicated. But that being said, that's a possibility. As it currently stands, the final budgeting allocations are determined by the Committee on the Budget, with representatives from each branch of student government. Everyone is represented when the budget is coming through.
79. Sam Scaglione: I did just add the percentages up there.
80. Patrick Paulsen: What does the endowment go towards?
81. Sam Scaglione: That's where we get all our money off our returns of. We buy shares in the endowment to continue generating funds we can use.
82. Matthew Ruddy: SUB charges for its events right?
83. Bethany Boggess: No. We charged \$5 for the tickets, and made it free.
84. Weston Dell: Do we have information about how many students attend events for student government versus how many attend events for clubs?
85. Corey Gayheart: There's no way to measure that, but we can give anecdotal evidence.
86. Michael from CCC: Everyone is usually involved in clubs on campus.
87. Corey Gayheart: I think everybody's events are attended well, there are always some that don't, but everything has a good amount. Typically if they're associated with a larger cause, it will increase the amount of people that attend.
88. Patrick Paulsen: What would the process be to raise the cap on the amount you would get from the Shirt project? Would there be a means?
89. Sam Scaglione: Jin, can you talk about the other side of the Shirt?
90. Jin Kim: We do charity events, such as being injured or having a medical emergency. You can apply for a charity event with us. There's a lot of money from the fund, and a lot of the funds are reserved and used

proactively. We kind of cut right at the edge of it, so it's not just sitting there.

91. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to debate
 - a) Dana Plagenz: Second
 - b) Vote: All approved
92. Patrick Paulsen: Motion to provide a friendly amendment. The wording of Article 18 is wrong. It's a small amendment
93. Corey Gayheart: We are more than open to this, and because that doesn't pertain to this resolution, we will do that later.
94. Alyssa Ngo: I feel like I represent the clubs that don't fundraise as well. Everyone wants funding, and I feel badly that the organization will feel a little pinched, but for us, this funding is so important. I was surprised by the controversy, and many organizations are invested in it. We see that Class Councils didn't get what they initially allocated for, and this is pretty consistent across the board. The Asian American Association requested \$3500 and we got \$150. CCC gets a lot of budgets, so I don't want to blame them. The reason why they gave us \$150 was because they felt that AAA had the capacity to be self-sufficient but it didn't work. Diversity clubs don't have large membership or alumni networks. Especially with the emphasis on diversity and inclusion at Notre Dame, these aren't really clubs that you can cut. A lot of these students are low-income. The last 20% of funds can't even be paid by our students. Usually the clubs end up pulling the money from other places and it spirals from there. I feel badly that it has to come from somewhere but I believe it will be put to good use.
95. Michael Conlon: I understand that concern, but our concern is that it wasn't necessarily the other organizations that bore the brunt of that. The money that went to JCC that came from SUB and the other councils, and in the past it has also come from class councils. I think that where the group of us and SUB are concerned is that this is an amendment that will affect our budget, but we weren't in on a conversation to talk about this, and that is something we would be willing to do. I understand that campaigning on this is what you did, but your follow through on your promise would be coming on us, when that wasn't on our campaign promise. We didn't tell our seniors that would be giving money to clubs.
96. Corey Gayheart: That's not the sole reason we're doing this. We're doing this because we think it's the right thing to do, and if you disagree with it you do. There's very clearly a need that's not being met. Most other student organizations received more money than they did last year, but

Executive Cabinet did not. It's problematic when people aren't even getting 5% of what they ask for. Notre Dame is just now becoming more diverse and starting to focus on that. That alumni base isn't there as it is for other groups.

97. Mark Spretnjak: Keeping in mind what we talked about at the last meeting about people feeling welcome at Notre Dame, do you think this is a way to fix that? Notre Dame is hurting in inclusion over all and this could fix that.
98. Lindsay McCray: We were talking about AAA, and we can agree that's pathetic, but what evidence do we have that this 3% will help them? Student clubs have a \$300,000 budget, and I find it problematic that you can't tell us. We have no evidence that this will help them. Why are we hammering on this topic when we have no evidence that it will.
99. Corey Gayheart: The larger conversation is that all the clubs need more money, not just the diversity clubs.
100. Sam Scaglione: If AAA wants to publicize their budget, they could, but I can't on their behalf. It was part of a conversation on their behalf, and we had the expectation that they could fundraise for that. If it didn't work out, we have winter reallocation. We can talk to them about why those needs weren't met. I think that the whole point of the CCC is that we use our experience from the past to grow and try to fix the mistakes we made.
101. Erin Hiestand: Is there a reason that you can't publicize how you allocate the funds?
102. Sam Scaglione: The council needs to remain anonymous in their votes so that members aren't held responsible for clubs not getting a specific amount of funding. It's to preserve anonymity of our council members, but we've been talking this year about ways to have more transparency.
103. Lindsay McCray: Has anyone brought up releasing the macros?
104. Sam Scaglione: We had that conversation last week, and we talked about releasing the average size of the clubs and the average amount that each club receives.
105. DC Morris: It seems a lot of people are concerned about certain groups being affected by partial cuts. I'm worried about these organizations if we can't guarantee protection for them, and some of the great events that are put on.
106. Sam Scaglione: I'm going to yield my time to Dylan.
107. Dylan Jaskowski: I sit on the board for FMB. What it's going to come down to is the members who allocate the money. Half of the members are

on class councils, and it's highly unlikely that they would vote that way. Class Councils have increased their budgets over the years. What fluctuates is SUB and Student Government. When there are cuts, it's more likely to come from SUB and Student Government, rather than Class Councils.

108. Sam Scaglione: If your concern is that there's no baseline, the constitution will still pose that issue.
109. Matthew Ruddy: If you have 500 clubs with 10 members, it would overcome the people at dances, and you're serving a greater number of people by serving all of these groups combined. These probably reach out to more people total.
110. Gates McGavick: I just wanted to second what DC said. Class councils put on incredible events and I would never vote to approve a budget that would cripple them. I think that it's important to remember that 95% or more of the previous allocations would be met. We imagine all student groups bearing the brunt of this. It is a little bit of a sacrifice, and all tickets running right now are running on raising club funding. Student government is at \$75,000, which is less than last year. I cut our budget by a third with the intention of putting the money back in the pot. I understand last year that that went wrong and that's unfair, but we would never approve a budget that put class councils in a bad spot. We envision everyone sacrificing a little to give massive amounts of students more money and to close the gap.
111. Corey Gayheart: The people voting on the budget change each year based on who's elected.
112. Sam Scaglione: The previous FMB who makes up the budget has the same balance because it has representatives from different student groups.
113. Michael Conlon: I yield my time to senior week chairs, who are in charge of the week after finals and before graduation. We have a ball, go to a Cubs game, and other events.
114. Hannah (Senior Week): We've been working tirelessly to get your senior week ready. We would like to make our discomfort clear in how we are not granted a vote. We are programming according to tradition to unite the senior class. The changes are impacting what we are receiving tomorrow and what we've been programming since August, which we have already worked with many organizations.
115. Lizzie (Senior Week): These events are historic, and we ask for exactly what we need to make sure it's in the purchasing power for

seniors. We should be treated as a separate entity. A dramatic change will make it more exclusive and changing the quality in the future.

116. Shady Girgis: Since it was increased from 36%-37%, how can we ensure that all these candidates running now won't keep increasing it?
117. Gates McGavick: If they decide it's not enough, the senate would debate it.
118. Corey Gayheart: It's ultimately up to the Senate. If the next administration wants to change it, it would be up to you to debate it and move on.
119. Zach Spitzer: Were the cuts that JCC dealt with voted on by this administration?
120. Corey Gayheart: We met after April 1, and we met to discuss the new allocations. We were the ones who designed our budgets and proposed them. That's what a lot of the work in March and April is for.
121. Sam Scaglione: It's the outgoing FMB that reviews what you submit, so there's a backcheck there. By the way, Senior Week, I did crunch some numbers, and if we did the 95% straight across, you would have \$15,200, which is only \$0.40 a student.
122. Lizzie (Senior Week): We have more than half our students participate.
123. Alyssa Ngo: I'm just trying to make one final push for the 3%. At last week's meeting, we tried to convey a better feeling of welcoming on campus, and this would be a great way to do it. Being on the board of a diversity club, it's very discouraging to hear that you don't get your budget. It's hard to feel that your club isn't being prioritized. The more money we have, the more events we have put on. I've directed two diversity shows, and my goals has for it to be like the Keenan Revue. The answer to that is money, and the impact would be really strong. I don't think diversity students have the option to do the things that they put on somewhere else. I don't even know if its possible, but if we're concerned about the 3% not going where we need it to go, but if we propose a floor where we say a certain number goes to the cultural division.
124. Sam Scaglione: We've had that discussion.
125. Halena Hadi: I know this is rather unfair and there are a lot of individual concerns. We did have a seconded motion to move to debate.
126. Mark Spretnjak: I withdraw my motion.
127. Lindsay McCray: CCC is asking for \$30,000 to be taken from the budget of the student union. CCC would put that towards 50% of their clubs that are not sufficient, and you cannot give us the breakdown of how

you spend that money, despite the fact that we're looking at the Student Union's budget right there. We can't tell who it's benefitting. You can't contest what people say because you can't show us the numbers. I'm happy to reconsider it if CCC is able to show us where the money is gone.

128. Sam Scaglione: The budget is going to FMB and SAO.
129. Lindsay McCray: That doesn't mean it's matching the interests of certain groups.
130. Corey Gayheart: We did approve Jin Kim at the beginning of our term in the Senate.
131. Lindsay McCray: I'm sure everyone does a good job, but transparency is good.
132. Erin Hiestand: The lack of a safeguard for the student union organizations is troubling for me, and until those are addressed, it's a lot of money to be throwing around.
133. Matthew Ruttly: The concerns of moving it is HPC events, but those have never changed or reduced, Senior Week events, which is like 80 cents, and the Class Councils, which have been going up, and most of it would be taken from SUB is these are held steady, which I don't see as that big of an issue, because they have 53% of allocation, which might be more of an introspective thing to look at. The clubs seemingly get 2-3% on average, so it would make sense to take from something that gets 53%.
134. Zach Spitzer: With these new allocations coming out this semester, will that be determined by the current administration cycle or the next one? I believe the current administration is within the best interest, and whoever would be elected would be in the same position, but they don't know that for sure.
135. Sam Scaglione: The numbers would come from this administration of the FMB, but it would be approved by the new administration.
136. Shady Girgis: Have you all looked into cutting club funding within clubs under CCC to give to clubs like AAA that need it? For the authors, if you could speak to why other people weren't spoken to before?
137. Sam Scaglione: The first question, we have procedures for emergent funding, and we set aside about \$30,000 to go to our contingency and collaboration fund, for if clubs need emergency funding throughout the year. There's also the winter reallocation procedure which is what FMB is doing tomorrow, and that's for clubs that require more funding for second semester that we will review and submit to FMB.

138. Corey Gayheart: As for the resolution itself, we viewed this as a means of having a discussion on this issue, and we apologize if your feelings were hurt, and we viewed this as the means of having this issue because it is of great important and it would be supplemented by having Senators in on the conversation. As for the resolution, this is not a silver bullet, and there is still a large problem. We're looking at other solutions such as working with CCC to make sure clubs are actively meeting, working with development to expand the endowment...those are all things we are actively working on. We hope that whoever gets elected after this, we can transition with them and pass these ideas on to them because it has been a problem.
139. Bethany Boggess: As you noticed, I'm a non-voting member of the Senate. Even though this directly affects my student organization, I can't vote on it. I didn't know about it until last night, which means I couldn't send it out my board until today, and they're the ones who are helping in planning these events. From one of my board members, there was a misconception that additional club funding was coming from an outside place, not that it was being redistributed from student groups. I think that I speak for all of SUB that we would love more collaboration with clubs, and this conversation could involve procedures, collaboration, and communication to help us to work with clubs to put on events because we do have 143 members in SUB.
140. Corey Gayheart: I know that SUB as well as us co-sponsor events all the time, and we've spent over \$8,000 on events that wouldn't have happened if they didn't have the co-sponsoring.
141. Michael Conlon: Regardless of the resolution, if we all probably just met at some point and discussed how if this doesn't pass how we can best work together going forward, because I think that's where everyone's probably at.
142. Corey Gayheart: That was an oversight on our end.
143. Elisabeth Lasecki: I think that everyone here is guided by some core principles of diversity and everyone is advocating for that, and this money is the issue, and so clubs are certainly a microcosm of the Notre Dame experience, and funding going to them is important, but my thing is making sure we can distribute it fairly. This was a short timeline to receive this memo, and I understand that we're all busy.
144. Weston : Motion to end debate and move to a vote.
- a) DC Morris: Second;
 - b) Vote: All approved

(1) Vote on Resolution: Failed

VI. New Business

VII. Announcements

- A. Isabella Schmitz: McGlinn's Casino Night is Friday at 9:30 in the LaFun Ballroom, and it's \$5 per person.
- B. Megan Metersky: Flaherty Food Fights is on February 23, and it's like Chopped, but they announced that the winner gets free Insomnia for their entire dorm. They want each dorm to raise at least \$5.
- C. Mark Spretnjak: February 28th is probably the date of Sorin Spelling Bee.
- D. Caila Lindsay: On Friday from 5-7pm is the opening of the Revisions Contemporary Native American Art in the Snite Museum.
- E. Shady Girgis: Tonight in Midfield Commons is the Student Body Debate. It's important for you to be there. Petitions for Class Council start tomorrow. This Wednesday is the vote for the election.
- F. Michael Conlon: 100 Days Dance Tickets sold out.
- G. Sam Cannova: JCC has Junior Class Formal this Friday and it's not-ticketed. Sophomore Class Council will be doing Candygrams sometime from 4-8 this Friday, Saturday, or Sunday.
- H. Bethany Bogges: The movie is Green Book this week. Last week was National Croissant Day and that is having this Wednesday. Acoustic Cafe didn't happen last week, but it will be next week, and there will be a special Valentine's Day concert last week.

VIII. Adjournment

- A. Motion: Mark Spretnjak
- B. Second: Dana Plagenz
 - 1. Vote: All Approve

