

UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME STUDENT SENATE

September 10th, 2018

Meeting Agenda

- I. Opening Prayer
- II. Roll Call
- III. Approval of Minutes
 - A. Motion: Patrick Paulsen
 1. Second: John Cresson
 2. Vote: all in favor
- IV. Executive Announcements: Corey Gayheart
 - A. Department of Campus Tech to add module to ND Mobile App for WiFi improvement. If you report it on the app, they will collect all the data and aggregate it. If there's a problem spot they will work to address it. Take a poster and put them up so that the WiFi can get better! (Worked in St. Ed's)
 - B. ND Unfiltered is coming up on Wednesday night. It is an extension of Show Some Skin, and will have resources to support students, so please attend!
 - C. Please get a Senate binder soon! This will help with the transition to next year.
 - D. Thanks for coming to GreenDot Training! Let's continue the domino effect because it's important for us as student leaders to stand against sexual assault.
 - E. I want to introduce Mo Doyle in place for Karen tonight.
 1. (*Mo introduces herself*)
- V. General Orders
 - A. Presentation: *Baraka Bouts: Marisa Perino and Morgan Williams (Captains of ND Women's Boxing Team)*
 1. Our mission is to empower Notre Dame Women and to support two schools in Uganda
 - a) It is the largest all-female boxing club in the world
 2. Even though there are coaches, it's all student run and very large. 150 people fight in the tournament every year.
 3. Our goal is \$175,000 this year, and hopefully will have a family match that amount.
 4. Last year, the money built a new dormitory at Lakeview School in Uganda.

5. About 200-300 people come to every practice. We start each practice with a little presentation.
6. Marisa Perino: I taught boxing this summer to the girls at the school (with the motto Strong Bodies Fight so that Weak Bodies May be Nourished).
7. Our tournament gets many people involved, and we invite family, friends, and staff to come.
8. It's not too late to get involved. We are still accepting girls to box. Guys can join Bengal Bouts in the spring, and tickets will be sold from every member of the team.
9. The tournament is the 6th, 12th, and 15th of November in Dahnke Ballroom.
10. We are having a Chipotle Fundraiser this week. I will airdrop you the flyer if you get out your phones. 30% of proceeds from Chipotle come to our missions. We would like to beat all of the fundraising things we did last year.
11. \$140 will pay for a semester at Uganda.
12. Keep your eyes out for other fundraisers and come to our tournament!
 - a) Alyssa Ngo: What is the commitment for people that want to come at the point in the semester?
 - (1) Marisa Perino: We have practices every day, but if you are going to box in our tournament, we require that you come to four practices per week. We try and switch it up what we do every day, and we do instruction after every workout. We teach them the six punches, defense movement, ring work, sparring, and it eventually leads to the tournament.
 - b) Caila Lindsay: do you practice in the spring too?
 - (1) Marisa Perino: No, but the boys start practicing in October and then end in early April so there's always someone down in the Pit.
13. Please send this to all of your friends!

B. Presentation: *Military Veterans Wristband Initiative (Gates McGavick)*

1. The Vanderbilt vs ND football game is Military Appreciation weekend, so Student Government is trying to contribute our part.
2. We are selling wristbands that say "God, Country, Notre Dame," and you can get one for \$5 if you text a number and pay for it online and then come to one of the tables in the dining halls.
3. If a lot of people buy wristbands, we can send a big message that we as a student body support our service members and their families.

4. The funds go to military families to help students cover tuition.
5. Megan Metersky: Can you donate and get your wristband at the booth, or did you have to do it on your phone?
 - a) Gates McGavick: You can do it there too, but it might be easier to do it on your phone.
6. Corey Gayheart: Please sign up to do a shift! Bri and Katherine have been working so hard, so please help. If it's successful, we will continue this throughout the year as a continuous fundraiser. Ask friends and family to donate as well!
7. DC Morris: Are you selling them at the dining hall for normal students if they haven't heard about it?
 - a) Corey Gayheart: On the 12th through the 14th, right when you walk into the dining hall, we will have people working those to also sell the wristbands

VI. New Business

A. Nominations/Appointments to the Notre Dame Election Committee

1. Shady Girgis: (*Shady Girgis reads the Election Committee brochure*) We are going to discuss and vote on the nominees for the Election Committee. We have a small issue that we talked to the Judicial Council about that we want to talk to you about.
2. Corey Gayheart: There was a slight issue that need to be presented about one of the nominees, and you guys will vote to nominate and approve each nominee individually, or as a group. It's entirely up to you. Once it's presented, you can decide. If there is debate on a nominee, we have to vote individually.
3. Shady Girgis: The issue is with candidate Isabel Snee. Ellen (Vice President of Elections) chooses the Election Committee, and trains them not to show any bias toward any candidates. Ellen was presented with information from someone concerned that Isabel endorsed an FCC candidate. She posted a screenshot of a person with their election material on her Snapchat story. We contacted her and she said that if she were to be chosen, she would be aware not to allow this to happen again.
4. Zach Spitzer: Is the Election Committee responsible for misconduct or for the election process?
 - a) Shady Girgis: They hold office hours in the spring during the election to approve the material and answer election questions. If allegations occur they can be contacted.
5. Zach Spitzer: Do we know if there was intention to endorse the candidate?

- a) Shady Girgis: She said she was unaware that that would be construed as an endorsement, and she was very apologetic, and didn't mean to endorse the candidate.
- 6. Alyssa Ngo: Can we see post in question?
 - a) Shady Girgis: (*displays post on board*)
- 7. Sam Cannova: Was the FCC election contested?
 - a) Shady Girgis: It's happening right now, and this happened on Friday.
- 8. Caila Lindsay: How did you choose these people?
 - a) Shady Girgis: Our VP of Elections chose, but they go through an application and interview process, and we ask them a bunch of normal questions as well as do a mock allegation that they answer to see how their mind thinks with these issues.
- 9. Patrick Paulsen: The whole election last year was bad and no one knew what was going on. Over half the people nominated for the committee were freshmen who didn't experience this. Students that did experience it would be better able to help this year.
 - a) Shady Girgis: We don't put freshmen lower than upperclassmen. Last year we had 5 freshmen who came to most meetings and handled it well.
- 10. Mark Spretnjak: If we have to vote on them all individually and this person gets vetoed and the rest say are not, do you have to find another to have 11 or is 10 fine?
 - a) Shady Girgis: We need to have between 7 and 11, and if you were to vote no, we would probably have to find a replacement, and then you'd have to vote on that one person.
 - b) Corey Gayheart: We we have to do that next week. It is imperative to help the Knott special election.
- 11. Drew Seketa: If this problem were to happen 6 months down the line, what steps would you take?
 - a) Shady Girgis: We would take it to the Student Union Ethics Commission. As is enumerated in constitution, we would encourage the person who gave us the information to file with the Ethics Commission, and proceed from there.
- 12. Bethany Bogges: What is the time commitment for the Election Committee?
 - a) Shady Girgis: In the fall it's not a lot, but in the spring, they're required to have two hours in office, set up debates, and work with allegations.

13. Lindsay McCray: Can you clarify the timeline for when people were notified versus when this happened?
 - a) Shady Girgis: We told them accepted Monday, the training was Thursday, and this happened on Saturday.
 - b) Lindsay McCray: So she was definitely trained?
 - c) Corey Gayheart: The problem is that the Elections Committee was technically not formed yet.
 - d) Shady Girgis: These people are not members of the committee yet, until you vote to accept them, so it was a tricky situation, so technically she wasn't a member. That's why we didn't bring it to the Ethics Commission.
14. Jack Usher: So the rules don't technically apply to her yet?
 - a) Shady Girgis: She's not a member of the Election Committee yet, so technically you're correct.
 - b) Corey Gayheart: This information is relevant to her nomination and execution of job.
15. Katie Gabanic: When they were presented the information about endorsing candidates, was it presented in a way that you can't do it now or when the term starts?
 - a) Shady Girgis: We never said that it would start when Senate approves them, we said just being part of Election Committee.
16. Daniel Rottenborn: If you guys as Judicial Council knew this had happened Saturday, have you talked to see if you want to take her off yet?
 - a) Shady Girgis: Our stance is to push her through, but we want to bring that up to Senate.
17. Mark Spretnjak: How did you come to that conclusion?
 - a) Shady Girgis: Just talking to her and looking at the picture, we thought it was a small mistake that she didn't necessarily mean to make, and we don't want to show bias to her or change your votes, because this is up to you, but we thought that it was something you should be aware of. This was a small quick mistake and after emailing with her, she realized that and wasn't aware.
18. DC Morris: How long was the story up for?
 - a) Shady Girgis: Not sure.
19. Halena Hadi: Per the constitution, and at one time, only seven of them will be voting members at a time, so if someone is unable to be unbiased, she cannot be present, and can be a non-voting member, and wouldn't be a voting member for a specific allegation.

- a) Corey Gayheart: Typically it's the 7-11 range because convening a group of people at three AM is quite difficult, so that's why you want to have more.
20. Jack Usher: Did you specify what constitutes an endorsement?
- a) Shady Girgis: I just read the section of the Constitution. She didn't explicitly violate something that said no social media posts.
 - b) Corey Gayheart: I don't believe that endorsements are specifically defined, it's a broadly agreed upon term that's let up to the discretion of all of us.
21. DC Morris: Motion to end discussion and move to debate
- a) Second: Erin Hiestand
 - b) Vote: all approved
22. Alyssa Ngo: This does alarm me because in the past election, we had so many allegations of misconduct, and they're the ones that are suppose to take care of that. If I was a candidate, the election period would be the time you're most careful, and she only had one rule to follow. I find it a little questionable.
23. Matt Jennings: Regardless of whether or not it is an endorsement, you shouldn't be putting it on your social media. I don't know if I can trust you to make big decisions later in the process.
24. Zach Spitzer: I don't think this would be as big of a deal if it were for another committee, but given that it's the Election Committee and what happened last year, it's imperative that we make the next committee right, and in my opinion, this seems like a blatant endorsement, so I have concerns with how this is presented.
25. Erin Hiestand: I think that even if she wasn't technically a part of Election Committee, knowing that you were up for that position, you should have been hypervigilant about what you're posting.
26. Lindsay McCray: I'm going to agree with that, and I'm concerned giving everything that happened last year, and even though she apologized, this was brought to your attention not by her, by someone else, and it wasn't her that said she messed up. She didn't take responsibility for it.
- a) Shady Girgis: She didn't do that because she didn't realize it could be construed as an endorsement.
 - b) Corey Gayheart: I'm going to play devil's advocate: She is a first year and so she wasn't present to witness February and March.
27. DC Morris: That makes it even worse because she's been on campus for four weeks and she's already endorsing people even though she doesn't

know them that well. As you build relationships toward the big elections, that makes it worse.

28. Patrick Paulsen: If she can't tell this is an endorsement, then I don't want this person on the Council. I would rather vote for people who didn't experience this last year, but it is a Judicial Committee, and she was told that this wouldn't be accepted.
29. Alyssa Ngo: I want to mention the virtue of prudence. Maybe she wasn't official, but she didn't exercise any prudence about what she was doing. It was clearly not intentional, but she didn't think about it.
30. Margaret Meserve: It would be nice to have 11 people, and she's qualified in all other manner. This was by no means a silly mistake, and it's a big deal, but I do think that her being a first year and not having witnessed the previous incidences, if I was her, she's gonna be really careful from here on out.
31. Zach Spitzer: Another thing is that I don't doubt that she would be qualified, but unfortunately, this is the Election Committee, and I see it that we have to get it right and we can't make it look like a joke again.
 - a) Shady Girgis: What was the joke?
 - b) Zach Spitzer: The appeal process
 - c) Corey Gayheart: We're not litigating the election again last year
 - d) Zach Spitzer: I don't doubt people were working hard, but I think we need to be extra careful
32. Lindsay McCray: Everyone talking about the fact that she's first year, but it doesn't matter to me because she should have read the constitution if she knew she was a candidate.
 - a) Corey Gayheart: Have all of you read the constitution?
 - b) Senators: No
 - c) Corey Gayheart: Please do, at least the section about Senate
33. Westin Dell: I think she messed up, but I don't think she's going to do it again and it will make her a strong candidate after this.
34. Patrick Paulsen: I'm a fan of second chances, so she should run again next year. This is Notre Dame, and so if we want to be the best school we can be, we have to hold people to the highest standard.
35. Mark Spretnjak: If everyone gets yes and she gets no, and we have to pick someone else, how many people applied or how many did you interview?
 - a) Shady Girgis: We talked to 27 people in total and picked the top 11
 - b) Mark Spretnjak: So you have a good list of backups?
36. Daniel Rottenborn: I just wanted to echo what Westin was saying. Everyone can understand that the decision making process, but I don't

understand the philosophy that the past determines the future and I believe in a human's ability to learn, and as Notre Dame, we should hold people to the highest standard. As a fellow student, she has that ability and will learn a lesson. Ellen has seen her credentials and more than the ten-second decision making process, and I'm going to bow to Ellen's ability.

37. Brendan Watts: I just want to echo that, and there's a live stream going on, and there's attacks on this girl's character. From an outsider's perspective, and if she were watching it, think about what she would be thinking. Shady is throwing his support behind her, and he's the expert. He thinks she has the capacity to do the job and she has apologized deeply.
38. Brittany Cahill: I just want to remind everyone that the experienced Judicial Council does have backups, but these are the top 11, and despite this, I trust them to make that decision.
39. Matt Ruty: When I saw it, I just saw a picture of someone posting with a picture of themselves, like I would post with a poster of me. I think the fabric of the endorsement is silly to me, and it's just an oops moment.
40. Jack Usher: I'm going to agree. This feels like a buzzsaw of this girl, and clearly she has credentials, and has the backing of the Judicial Council, and she took a picture that is being construed as an endorsement, but you could sit and debate that, but this is a no brainer in my opinion and she will be better for it.
41. DC Morris: It's a no brainer that it's an endorsement, and are you okay with a candidate endorsing someone for the election? If you're okay with that, then you should vote for her.
42. Shady Girgis: The process of the FCC election is that only the first years in that dorm only vote for the candidates. This kid was in Dillon, so only the Dillon first years can vote for him.
43. Zach Spitzer: If we do want to vote, I motion that we vote separately.
44. Sam Cannova: I think that when things like this happen and we bring them to meetings like this, it might get a little over scrutinized. All we're looking at is one snapchat from a freshman of four weeks. If anything, I'm more confident in her ability now that she knows the severity and weight of her rules. She's literally a Girl Scout. I want to hold us to the higher standard, but there's so many people who have made mistakes who still feel their roles very well. I don't want to stop her from opportunities for her career down the road.
45. Katie Gabanic: If she was apologetic, did she say if it was a play on his name, or what was the message?

- a) Shady Girgis: She said it was a play on words and she didn't intend for it to be an endorsement, and she sees how it could be construed that way to others.
- 46. DC Morris: Play on words for what though? That he's running for a position of power? So she admitted that it's a play on words that he's running for Class Council?
 - a) Shady Girgis: That's an assumption, but people call each other queen and king all the time, and it seemed fitting with his last name
- 47. Halena Hadi: If we motion to close debate, we can still open debate on another candidate, or on voting individually.
- 48. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to close debate on Isabel Snee
 - a) Second: Jack Usher
 - b) Vote: all approved
- 49. Brittany Cahill: Motion to move to debate on everyone else
 - a) Second: Jack Usher
 - b) Vote: all approved
- 50. Bethany Bogges: Just a point: I'm a non-voting member but just so you all aware, Joseph Sheehan just resigned from SUB because he said he was too busy.
 - a) Corey Gayheart: Could he have been resigning because of this? Would the timeline match up?
 - b) Bethany Boggess: It is up to Senate to determine that
 - c) DC Morris: Did he give a reason to you?
 - (1) Bethany: No.
 - d) Brittany Cahill: I totally understand while that's annoying, I also understand that it's responsible to know your commitments to different activities.
 - e) Zach Spitzer: Je did know of his nomination by Monday, and the way I see it is that he wanted to spend more time with Judicial Council. I don't see that big of an issue resigning from SUB rep.
 - f) Erin Hiestand: I feel the issue is more that he committed to SUB first and then something better came along.
 - g) Zach Pearson: We don't know why he resigned, but that's way too much up in the air, so I don't think it's necessarily connected even if it says it is
 - h) Mark Spretnjak: Zach is right. We don't know for sure, but in my head it seems like he's pretty committed to this new job if he's quitting a good job for something else. Obviously he values it a lot

- i) Bethany Bogges: We've asked him to email the SUB account directly, and he hasn't done that yet. I want him to stay, and he reached out to his lead programmer and said he was quitting. He was supposed to email me directly to let me know, but in terms of discussion, we just didn't get to work it out.
- j) Sam Cannova: Can you tell me the primary roles of a SUB member?
 - (1) Bethany Bogges: We have nine programs, and this committee has a weekly time commitment. They help with planning and facilitation of events
- k) Sam Cannova: How about an Election Committee member?
 - (1) Shady Girgis: We rarely meet in the fall, but in the spring, we immediately get rolling, so they're asked from end of January to spring break to be in office two hours a week as well as let their nights free for any allegations during election season and other miscellaneous things.
- l) Sam Cannova: So it's programming events versus judicial events. It sounds like he changed his mind about what he wanted to do, but he still wanted have an active role in student government.
- m) Sydney Mulqueen: We should look at his qualifications on paper, and not his decisions.
- n) Bailey Baumbick: We don't know the circumstances over why he resigned, but we should look at this as objectively as possible, and we can't assume anything.
- o) Lindsey McCray: Could they have been here for questions?
 - (1) Shady Girgis: No, and they weren't instructed to be.
- p) Eva Nunez: Could we table the two questionable members and then bring them in, instead of looking at them through the light of their mistakes?
 - (1) Halena Hadi: I believe someone else had a concern on another candidate, so we could motion to vote individually, and then we can table those considerations until later and still vote on the other people.
 - (2) Shady Girgis: What do you think you can find out more if they were here? She gave me her response.
 - (a) Evan: I'm not a big fan of judging people through one mistake, but I am interested in hearing his answer about why he quit.

- (b) Meghan Howat: I think it's not a good idea to judge people based on one action, and they chose the best candidates they could, and I think his judgment is a good one.
- q) Lindsay McCray: I'm sorry we're disagreeing with your nominations, but for what we might gain from having them be there, there's a lot said about the fact that we don't know them and their character, and they're not here to speak for themselves, as do others who commit election violations.
- r) John (proxy): How much are you going to learn from them actually coming here? He could have just changed his mind with what he wants to do within student government. We brought up earlier that we should look at the context of their backgrounds. I just don't see it as very necessary.
- s) Corey Gayheart: FCC elections are currently happening?
(1) Shady Girgis: Yes. We have to have 7 people by 8pm.
- t) Alyssa Ngo: I like Evan's suggestion, even though I know it sounds like a lot more, it's important to consider that she should get the chance to represent herself, and I think that would make people feel better about the whole process. Maybe your constituents will ask you why you approved or denied a certain candidate, and it's important to take this into consideration. Ellen isn't here either to talk about how they presented themselves. This is second or third hand information.
- u) Corey Gayheart: I don't want us to become judgements of character based on one thing that people have done in their life. If we do that, that is going to be bad for everyone. To hold someone at stake for one action, that's very bad judgment on our part.
- v) Shady Girgis: I'm a little hesitant to be on the side of bringing them in, specifically Isabel because the attitude and environment and room shaped about her. I'm not comfortable bringing her in where she might feel uncomfortable, where she would feel attacked, and I would rather let her know a yes or no based on what happened.
- w) Matt Ruty: I think that if you're entertaining bringing these people in, that's ridiculous. You could find one thing that everyone has done that's stupid, so if you're judging them based on their accomplishments, that's fine, but we have a deadline to hit.

- x) Jack Usher: I would like to echo what the last couple speakers have said. It sounds like if people don't come in, then they're going to make the assumption that we're not going to vote for them. They're taking the guilty until proven innocent road, and we need to take the high road here.
 - y) Corey Gayheart: Just so you guys know, it's important to abide by the standards as you set forth for others.
 - z) Halena Hadi: Technically we're still in debate about the candidates, and not about bringing them in. That would be a separate motion.
51. Caila Lindsay: We are allowed to ask questions right? For Luis, it says he was an intercollegiate debater, so I'm confused about that because isn't he a freshman?
- a) Alyssa Ngo: That could be translation for high school debating.
52. Evan Nunez: Can we confirm seven of these folks that we don't have questions about?
- a) Corey Gayheart: We have to approve them all as one or go one by one. We can make it a quick process, so it can be very quick, but again, we're not going to chunk it up.
53. Zach Spitzer: Motion to end debate and move to individual vote:
- a) Second: Caila Lindsey
 - b) Vote: all approved
54. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #1
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved, one abstaining
55. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #2
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
56. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #3
- a) Zach Pearson: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
57. DC Morris: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #4
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
58. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #5

- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
59. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #6
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
60. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #7
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
61. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #8
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
62. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to move to a vote on Candidate #9
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: all approved
 - (1) Vote: all approved
63. Mark Spitzer: Motion to move to a vote on Joseph Sheehan
- a) Zach Spitzer: Second
 - b) Vote: Not all approved
 - c) Shady Girgis: Someone can motion for blind vote so you close your eyes if you want to vote without anyone knowing.
 - d) Corey Gayheart: We can table the nomination to next week, and give him the option to not come in, or you can make the decision tonight, but we do have elections going on currently
 - e) Daniel Rottenborn: What would that vote look like to table?
 - (1) Halena Hadi: We would postpone to a definite time, and so they wouldn't be present on the FCC allegations committee. We would be postponed to next week.
 - (2) Daniel Rottenborn: What is needed to table individually?
 - (3) Halena Hadi: A majority vote
 - (4) Lindsay McCray: I didn't mean to force them to come in
 - f) Matt Ruty: If you take all of these, this is all we know about them, as we've taken that as good enough for nine out of eleven
64. Zach Pearson: Motion to end discussion and move to debate
- a) Vote: All approved

- b) Alyssa: They perform based not on paper qualifications. Everyone else didn't have circumstances that presented themselves here. We have a demonstration that is questionable from Isabel and Joseph, and if we find it questionable, we should give them opportunity to explain that. Since it came up, we shouldn't just pass it off. We are trying to get their character as best we can
- c) Corey Gayheart: We can't prevent him or encourage him to resign from SUB. He has the right to resign at any point in time as a member of the Student Union, just as you all do from Senate.
- d) Bethany Bogges: I never intended to question his character. I know him, and I know he's awesome, and if this is what he would rather do, I'm not saying he's a terrible person, I just wanted to consider that as a factor. He's an awesome member of our organization, and we're sad to see him go.
- e) Mark Spretnjak: Are you allowed to debate things twice, because this is the second time?
 - (1) Halena: No. We can't debate Isabel again.
- f) Patrick Paulsen: Getting more information is good, but as Senators it's our job to scrutinize who we want to be on this committee, and we've been entrusted by other students.
- g) Caila Lindsay: We constantly get people saying they have a better position to go with their major, and we really need people to work places. We encourage people to do things that are better for their life, and we shouldn't necessarily be so critical of him quitting.
- h) Evan Nunez: I don't think we're subpoenaing these people, were simply giving them the option to address the allegations against them, so they should have an opportunity to defend themselves, and that's my main reason.
- i) Corey Gayheart: I will say that you do not know the circumstances surrounding his resignation. If it's not that reason, it's not our right to pry into his life about why he's making that decision. They are going for a public position, but there could be a personal reason about why he resigned.
- j) Brittany Cahill: I understand why it would be nice to bring them in, but from their perspective, I wouldn't want to because that would be scary, and you're just asking them to come and apologize profusely. It's a lose-lose for them.
- k) Alyssa Ngo: Isn't that why they're on Election Committee? They're going to have to ask other people to come in for

allegations, in terms of due process. If they feel uncomfortable they can still say no. They have to be prepared to handle that kind of scrutiny.

- l) Corey Gayheart: They hold office hours, and if there's an allegation, they sit in a room and interview the people that are being accused, and that's their job. Then they release a PDF on their website. You will never know who was in the room specifically for an allegation.
- m) Matt Jennings: I don't think we have to worry about this. Motion to move to blind vote:
 - (1) Zach Pearson: Second
 - (2) Vote: all approved
 - (3) Blind vote: 27 yes, 3 abstain

65. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to vote on Isabel

- a) Paul Wilkinson: Second
- b) Vote: All approved
 - (1) Vote: 24 yes, 5 opposed, 1 abstaining

B. Committee Breakout Sessions: Setting Goals and a Vision for your Committee

1. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to table breakout sessions

- a) Second: Zach Spitzer
- b) Vote: All approved

VII. Announcements

- A. Corey Gayheart: 9/11 Prayer Service tomorrow
- B. Bethany Bogges: Acoustic Cafe on Thursday, and the SUB movie is Jurassic World 2
- C. Alyssa Ngo: Multicultural Showcase Thursday at 7
- D. Dana Plagenz: LHOP Friday at 9:30pm
- E. Zach Spitzer: Dunne Fun Run next Saturday
 - 1. Brendan Watts: Sign up on Facebook page and on calendar
- F. Sam Cannova: Prayer service on the 19th with Father Pete
- G. Bethany Bogges: Ad on SUB website about Garth Brooks student tickets. Your second ticket can be a non-student ticket!!
- H. Corey Gayheart: Thank you for tonight. If you ever disagree, do not be silenced by the majority. Please speak up because that's why we are here

VIII. Adjournment

- A. Mark Spretnjak: Motion to adjourn
 - 1. Second: Zach Spitzer

2. Vote: All approved